

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/632,597	08/01/2003	Robert C. Freelander	CDM/3772.9999	4323
	7590 08/28/2006 Chernoff Vilhauer McClung & Stenzel, L.L.P.			EXAMINER LHYMN, EUGENE	
	1600 Ods Tower 601 SW Second Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Portland, OR	Portland, OR 97204-3157			
					DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/632,597	FREELANDER, ROBERT C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eugene Lhymn	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th th correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 18 May 2006.					
·—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,12 and 14-16 is/are pend	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6,8,12 and 14-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6,8,12 and 14-16</u> is/are reject)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6,8,12 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		unlication No				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		• •				
 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu 		received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
See the attached detailed embe deteil for a						
Attachment(s)		(DTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,597

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullrich (US 2581613) in view of Panknin (US 3333722). With respect to claims 1 & 12. Ullrich discloses a rectangular top element (upper rim of the tray) and a rectangular bottom element (lowermost portion of tray comprising overlaying & intersecting wire members), side elements (Fig. 2, item 1) that are angled such that the bottom fits snugly in the top of another tray. Also, Ullrich discloses the said elements being made of wire (Col 2, Lines 14-16). However, Ullrich fails to disclose corner elements, and the top and bottom being offset by a distance of one thickness of the wire. Nonetheless, Panknin teaches a wire container that is stackable that has corner elements, in order to facilitate stacking of adjacent trays, thereby providing an equivalent means of stacking regarding the existing stacking bails 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the stacking bails of Ullrich with corner elements as taught by Panknin so as to provide an alternate and equivalent means of stacking. With respect to the offset limitation, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the offset as such, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result

Art Unit: 3727

effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

With respect to claims 6 & 16, Ullrich teaches the side elements being attached to the top and bottom.

With respect to claim 8, Ullrich teaches the wire having a circular cross section.

- 3. Claims 3, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullrich in view of Panknin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gold (US 2785725). With respect to claims 3 & 14, Ullrich as modified above discloses the claimed invention except for the fabric cover extending across the sides and bottom. However, Gold teaches a wire container having a fabric cover extending over the sides and bottom, so as to prevent items from falling through gaps in the wire mesh. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a fabric cover to the container of Ullrich as taught by Gold so as to prevent items from falling through gaps in the wire mesh.
- 4. Claims 5, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullrich in view of Panknin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Averill (US 2923428). With respect to claims, 5 & 15, Ullrich as modified above discloses the claimed invention except for the U-shaped handles, however Averill teaches a wire container having said handles, thereby providing gripping means. Therefore, it would

Application/Control Number: 10/632,597 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add handles to the container of Ullrich as taught by Averill so as to provide gripping means.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,597

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lhymn whose telephone number is 571-272-8712. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWT 6-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571)272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Steve Castellano

Page 5

Primary Examiner